Filed 07/09/2008

Page 1 of 6

Case 5:07-cv-06091-RMW Document 31

for the defendants who have not yet appeared in this action, except as stated in Defendants' pending motions to dismiss.

2. Facts

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Plaintiffs allege a wide ranging conspiracy among various law enforcement personnel, lawyers, judges, and court personnel, all stemming from plaintiffs' dispute with various governmental officials relating to their puppy mill. The Court is aware of the plaintiffs' general allegations, which are well chronicled in the defendants' motions filed in plaintiff's previous cases and the order of this Court dismissing Civil Case No. C 07 04266 RMW ("Order").

3. Legal Issues

Defendants' pending motions to dismiss describe some of the legal issues in this case.

Other legal issues will be determined after the pleadings are settled.

4. Motions

Defendants' motions to dismiss are pending.

5. Amendment of Pleadings

There should be no amended complaint allowed.

6. Evidence Preservation

The parties are unaware at this time of any document destruction program or of erasures of any electronically recorded material relevant to the issues herein.

7. Disclosures

Defendants propose that initial disclosures be delayed until after a decision on defendants' motions to dismiss so that the parties can determine what issues, if any, will remain in the case.

8. Discovery

Defendants believe no discovery should be allowed because the case should be dismissed pursuant to the pending motions.

9. Class Actions

This case is not a class action.

28 l

//

10. Related Cases

This case is related to plaintiffs' multiple previous cases, also relating to their puppy mill, including but not limited to Civil Case No. C 07 04266 RMW..

11. Relief

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Defendants defer to plaintiffs for a description of the relief plaintiffs are seeking.

12. Settlement and ADR

Defendants believe that this case should be exempted from ADR requirements.

13. Consent to Magistrate Judge for All Purposes

Defendants do not consent to proceedings before a magistrate judge.

14. Other References

The case is not suitable for reference to binding arbitration, a special master, or the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation.

15. Narrowing of Issues

Defendants anticipate that this case will not survive the pending motions to dismiss, so no further narrowing of issues should be necessary.

16. Expedited Schedule

The parties do not request an expedited schedule.

17. Scheduling

If the pending motions to dismiss do not completely dispose of the case, Defendants propose that the Court conduct another case management conference following the filing of the answers by all defendants, at which time the remainder of the case schedule can be set.

18. Trial

Defendants do not believe a trial will be necessary in this case.

19. Disclosure of Non-party Interested Entities or Persons

While the parties have not filed a "Certification of Interested Entities or Persons,"

Defendants are not aware of any nonparties with a financial interest in this action, or any other kind of interest that could be substantially affected by the outcome of the proceeding.

28

//

20. Other Matters

At this time, Defendants are not aware of any other matters that may facilitate the just, speedy and inexpensive disposition of this matter.

Respectfully submitted,

DATED: July 9, 2008

JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO
United States Attorney

/s/ Claire T. Cormier

CLAIRE T. CORMIER

Assistant United States Attorney

Dated: July , 2008 EDMUND G. BROWN, JR.

Attorney General of the State of California

PAUL Ť. HAMMERNESS

Supervising Deputy Attorney General

KAY K. YŬ

Deputy Attorney General

KAY K. YU

Attorneys for Defendant Bradley A. Solomon

14 15

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

20. Other Matters 1 2 At this time, Defendants are not aware of any other matters that may facilitate the just, speedy and inexpensive disposition of this matter. 3 Respectfully submitted, 4 5 DATED: July ____, 2008 JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO United States Attorney 6 7 CLAIRE T. CORMIER 8 Assistant United States Attorney 9 10 EDMUND G. BROWN, JR.
Attorney General of the State of California
PAUL T. HAMMERNESS 11 Supervising Deputy Attorney General KAY K. YU 12 Deputy Attorney General 13 14 15 KAY K. YU Attorneys for Defendant Bradley A. Solomon 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

DEFENDANTS' CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT No. C 07 06091 RMW

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE 1 2 The undersigned hereby certifies that she is an employee of the Office of the United 3 States Attorney for the Northern District of California and is a person of such age and discretion to be competent to serve papers. The undersigned further certifies that she is causing a copy of 4 5 the following: 6 **DEFENDANTS' CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT** 7 to be served this date upon the party in this action by placing a true copy thereof in a sealed 8 envelope, and served as follows: FIRST CLASS MAIL by placing such envelope(s) with postage thereon fully 9 prepaid in the designated area for outgoing U.S. mail in accordance with this office's practice. 10 PERSONAL SERVICE (BY MESSENGER) 11 12 **FACSIMILE (FAX)** Telephone No.: 13 BY FEDERAL EXPRESS to the parties addressed as follows: 14 John Gabor and Kay Gabor 15 590 Smokey Court Campbell, ČA 95008-3717 16 17 18 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct. 19 Executed this 9th day of July 2008, at San Jose, California 20 21 /s/ Claire T. Cormier 22 Claire T. Cormier 23 24 25

26

27

28